

Asymptotic Capacity of Two-dimensional Channels with Checkerboard Constraints¹

Zsigmond Nagy

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Eng.
Univ. of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093-0407
e-mail: nagy@code.ucsd.edu

Kenneth Zeger

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Eng.
Univ. of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093-0407
e-mail: zeger@ucsd.edu

One-dimensional channels satisfying run length constraints are important in magnetic recording applications and two-dimensional channels satisfying run length constraints have been considered in relation to optical recording applications (see the references in [1]). One-dimensional (d, k) run length constraints require that in any binary sequence, there be at least d and at most k 0s between consecutive 1s. Two-dimensional run length constraints require that one-dimensional run length constraints be satisfied both horizontally and vertically in a two-dimensional rectangular binary array.

In addition to run length constraints, other types of constraints can be used to model certain two-dimensional channels. An example of a circularly symmetric two-dimensional constraint occurs by requiring that any point in the two-dimensional \mathbf{Z}^2 lattice be labeled 0 if it is within a prescribed distance from a lattice point with label 1.

One could alternatively require that every 1 be surrounded by 0s falling in a given sized hexagon, square, or more generally any other shape of interest. In general, a large class of such two-dimensional constraints can be characterized by some bounded measurable two-dimensional set S , and the requirement that for every 1 stored in the plane, it must at least be surrounded by a set of 0s arranged in the shape of S . Such a code is said to satisfy the constraint S . These constraints are known as checkerboard constraints [3].

For a convex symmetric checkerboard constraints S , we determine the rate at which the capacity goes to zero, as a function of the area $A(S)$ of the constraint. It is shown that as $A(S) \rightarrow \infty$, the capacity decays to zero at the rate $4\delta(S)(\log_2 A(S))/A(S)$, where $\delta(S)$ is the packing density of the set S . Thus, for example, since the packing density (in the plane) of squares or hexagons is $\delta(S) = 1$, this implies that the capacity of two-dimensional channels satisfying square or hexagon checkerboard constraints is asymptotically equal to $4(\log_2 A(S))/A(S)$ as the area grows without bound. Similarly, if S is a circular constraint, then the asymptotic capacity is $\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}}(\log_2 A(S))/A(S)$ since $\delta(S) = \pi/(2\sqrt{3})$.

I. PRELIMINARIES

Given a set $S \subset \mathbf{R}^2$, any function $f : S \cap \mathbf{Z}^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is called a *labeling* of S . For any set $S \subset \mathbf{R}^2$, let $A(S)$ be the area of S and let $\Lambda(S) = |S \cap \mathbf{Z}^2|$ be the number of \mathbf{Z}^2 -lattice points contained in S . A set $S \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ is *symmetric* if $x \in S \Leftrightarrow -x \in S$.

Given a set $V \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ and a checkerboard constraint S , a labeling f of V is said to be *S-valid* on V if $f(y) = 0$ whenever $f(x) = 1$, for all $x \in V \cap \mathbf{Z}^2$ and $y \in (x + S) \cap (V \setminus \{x\}) \cap \mathbf{Z}^2$. That is, f satisfies the checkerboard constraint S on the set $V \subset \mathbf{R}^2$. The number of S -valid labelings of a set $V \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ is denoted by $N_S(V)$.

The *capacity* C_S corresponding to the checkerboard constraint S is

$$C_S = \lim_{\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_2 N_S \left(R_{(-\kappa, -\lambda)}^{(\mu, \nu)} \right)}{A \left(R_{(-\kappa, -\lambda)}^{(\mu, \nu)} \right)}. \quad (1)$$

A proof given in [2] shows that the above limit exists.

The capacities of various channels satisfying convex checkerboard constraints were studied in [3]. These included the diamond, hexagonal, square, and (d, ∞) run length checkerboard constraints.

Every checkerboard constraint S is equivalent to the symmetric checkerboard constraint $S \cup -S$ in the sense that the sets of S -valid labelings and $(S \cup -S)$ -valid labelings of any set $V \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ are identical. Thus no generality is lost if we restrict attention to symmetric checkerboard constraints when computing capacities.

Notation: Let U be the set of all checkerboard constraints and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. For any $S \in U$ and $L \in \mathbf{R}$, we write $\lim_{A(S) \rightarrow \infty} f(S) = L$ to mean that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} f(\alpha S) = L$. That is, the set S is inflated without bound by the factor α but retains the same shape.

Theorem 1 *If S is an open convex symmetric checkerboard constraint with area $A(S)$, capacity C_S , and packing density $\delta(S)$, then*

$$\lim_{A(S) \rightarrow \infty} C_S \cdot \frac{A(S)}{\log_2 A(S)} = 4\delta(S). \quad (2)$$

In fact, a more general rate of convergence can be obtained for the capacity of two-dimensional channels with checkerboard constraints whose interior contains the origin, but without exactly identifying the convergence constant. Such constraints are not necessarily convex. The capacity is shown in Theorem 2 below to still decay asymptotically at the rate $(\log A(S))/A(S)$ in these cases. Theorem 2 makes precise a prediction given in [3]: “Intuitively, we expect that the capacity of a given constraint will be inversely proportional to the number of zeros in the constraint.”

Theorem 2 *If S is a checkerboard constraint whose interior contains the origin, then*

$$0 < \liminf_{A(S) \rightarrow \infty} C_S \cdot \frac{A(S)}{\log_2 A(S)} \leq \limsup_{A(S) \rightarrow \infty} C_S \cdot \frac{A(S)}{\log_2 A(S)} < \infty.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. A. S. Immink, P. H. Siegel, and J. K. Wolf. Codes for digital recorders. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 44:2260–2299, October 1998.
- [2] A. Kato and K. Zeger. On the capacity of two-dimensional run length constrained channels. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 45(4):1527–1540, July 1999.
- [3] W. Weeks and R. E. Blahut. The capacity and coding gain of certain checkerboard codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 44(3):1193–1203, May 1998.

¹This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation and the UCSD Center for Wireless Communications.